







media policy are linked to media freedom protected by the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms<sup>3</sup> in relation to Article 10, where pluralism and diversity of media outlets and media content is a prerequisite for the freedom of expression as the basis for democratic governance and the informed citizenry (Bruck et al., 2002). While this might seem to highlight only its political dimension or rationale, the social and cultural dimensions are necessarily included in consideration of media pluralism and its social outcomes.

McQuail highlights four standard dimensions of diversity defined by Hoffmann-Riem (1987, cited in McQuail, 1995: 144):

- “of formats and issues: essentially referring to differences of media function, such as entertainment, information, education, etc.;
- of contents: in relation to opinion and topics of information and news,
- of persons and groups: essentially access, but also representation;
- of geographical coverage and relevance.”

Media pluralism is commonly analyzed in terms of content and structure (cf. Klimkiewicz, 2005).

In order to complement Hallin and Mancini's dimensions of media system analysis, a comprehensive view of all aspects of pluralism policy is being developed. A three-concept approach including external, internal, and received diversity is developed here for the analysis of Croatian media pluralism policy. External diversity is found in media structure and in media content. In structural diversity it pertains to the shape of the market in respect to the number of media owners and outlets; in relation to media content it includes diversity in thematic channels and multi-platform media. Internal pluralism of media content refers to a multitude of different types of program functions, genres, and ideas presented in media programs, the state of source pluralism and program production in relation to independent productions, while internal diversity in relation to structural aspects includes the approach to public service broadcasting regulation (Hallin & Mancini, 2004). Received diversity is a more recent dimension of media pluralism that integrates the consideration of the media audience and its actual choices of media and their content in reflection on media policy. Its structural aspects include the possibility of access to a diverse mix of media and media programs that can (or should) contribute to media literate active citizens. Consideration of audience agency in relation to media system analysis also opens up the avenue of explaining change in media systems (developed in Peruško et al., 2012).

---

<sup>3</sup> Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms as amended by Protocols No. 11 and No. 14. Retrieved February 11, 2013 from <http://conventions.coe.int/treaty/en/treaties/html/005.htm>.



The Law on Electronic Media further defines impermissible horizontal and cross-media concentration of print and broadcast media, enforced within the licensing procedure for broadcasters, and in mergers. The 2009 Law on Electronic Media extended the anti-concentration measures also to the Internet and other distributors/providers as well as to non-linear services (i.e. on-demand TV). In spite of the anti-concentration policy, the media market in Croatia is highly concentrated, although the digital media environment and the economic crisis reduced leading market shares by approx. 10 per cent in television and print media in the 2009–2010/11. In terms of ownership structures including the level of foreign ownership, Croatia shares most of the trends in media concentration observed in other countries of Central and Eastern Europe (Peruško & Popović, 2008a).

In addition to opportunities for new channels provided by the digital switch-over (completed in Croatia in 2011), cable, a growing delivery platform in Croatia, provides a multichannel television environment. Internet access is also growing rapidly (at over 60 per cent in 2012), as well as other delivery platforms (mobile phones, tablets). The public service broadcaster Croatian Radio and Television launched a new digital television channel HRT 3, with cultural programming in September 2012. So far, it has received much approval by the cultural and intellectual elites. This continues the tradition of the pluralism policy of the “third cultural & minority interest channel” applied in Croatia first in public service radio broadcasting, similar to pre-multichannel programming in other European countries (i.e. Germany, UK, France, cf. Humphreys, 1996, pp. 130–133).

Croatian regulations also include incentives and provisions for increased structural diversity in terms of diverse media types and geographical level. Non-profit or community electronic media can only be launched by civil society organizations. These organizations have limited advertising and a reduced concession fee. The National Foundation for the Development of Civil Society also funds non-profit media projects for the development of democracy and civil society. This support extends to projects involving traditional as well as online media, including online platforms and blogs, in this way effectively increasing the diversity of media types. The policy for the promotion and protection of national minorities also contributes to structural diversity in the media landscape. The government, through the Council for National Minorities, funds 47 newspapers in languages of national minorities in Croatia (Zgrabljic Rotar, 2011).

Although empirical evidence is scarce, some research points to the still existing prevalence of external over internal diversity of the press in terms of their coverage of political issues, and audience diversification according to political attitudes and perceived media congruence (Lamza-Posavec & Rihtar, 2003). Analysis of political

---

Croatian Radio and television], Official Gazette 33/92, Zakon o izmjenama i dopunama Zakona o HRT [Law on the changes and additions to the Law on Croatian Radio and television], Official Gazette 24/96, Official Gazette 145/98, Official Gazette 76/2012. Constitutional law on the rights of national minorities (Official Gazette 155/02) also applies to structural diversity in the media.



According to Hallin and Mancini (2004), governance of public service broadcasting is related to the translation of political and social pluralism to media governance. In Croatia, as in other post-socialist democracies, the models of public service broadcasting were mainly imported from western European role models (Spichal, 2000), and the types of regulation depended more on the origin of the European expert advisors or trends in European broadcasting, than the needs and characteristics of the media systems or societies in question. Until June 2012, when the change to the Law on Croatian Radio and Television was adopted following a change in government earlier that year, the governance model of public service media in Croatia was a combination of the (multi-party) parliamentary and corporative models (Humphreys, 1996) incorporating representatives of civil society organizations (appointed by parliament) in the HRT Council. As Croatia has no history of corporate organization, there are no social segments to be truly represented. Thus, members of the councils and other media regulatory bodies only actually (though not structurally as this is a conflict) “represent” the political parties that supported them or NGO’s with no claim to social representativeness.

The organizational structure and the arrangements regarding the structure of governing bodies of the public service media, their election and competences were changed in 2012 into direct parliamentary appointment of the HRT director general, who has to have significant professional experience. Appointment is by parliamentary majority vote after a public contest and debate in the Parliamentary Committee for Information and Media. The new director general was elected in Parliament in October 2012 with an overwhelming majority, with only the opposition HDZ voting against. The appointment was not, in the majority of the interested public, considered political, but a welcome break with the non-transparent earlier practices. The HRT Programming Council, whose role is to represent the public interest in programming matters, is still composed of representatives of civil society, and their new role extends to program reviews in terms of the public service contract but with no governing duties or possibility of sanction. As the new review of the regulatory framework for public service television is not yet completed, it is hoped that the professional model (in terms of Hallin & Mancini, 2004, p. 169) or arms length governance (in terms of Humphreys, 1996, p. 156), which increases relative autonomy of broadcasting from politics/government and relies on the professional standards of media autonomy and quality, might be fully implemented, as the only one that could possibly have a positive effect given a majoritarian democracy, a homogeneous society without strong political polarizations.

The obligation of independent production also contributes to internal content diversity in the audiovisual media, but we could also see its contribution to source diversity as well as organizational diversity that are reflected in the content offered.

Non-profit radio and television stations, which add to the external structural diversity of the media system, also have legally defined internal program diversity requirements. They must produce at least 50 per cent of their output, half of which

must include public service content — informational, educational, professional, scientific, artistic and cultural.

The Fund for the Protection of Pluralism and Diversity of Electronic Media supports the production and broadcasting of media content of public interest in local and regional radio and television, especially information programs, programs for national minorities, promotion of cultural creativity, education, science and art. The Fund is financed by 3 per cent of the license fee collected by the public service broadcaster HRT.

The Law on the Media obliges the state to promote pluralism and diversity through subsidies for the production and publication of public service content. Diversity and pluralism are linked with giving service to specific social groups (national minorities, women, and disabled persons), type of media (local, non-profit media, and non-governmental media). The public service, HRT, has special programs for national and ethnic minorities. The Newsroom for Minorities and Civil Society of the Croatian Radio and Television, reported that weekly programs for national minorities on Croatian radio constitute 1 per cent in the total talk segment of the radio program. The regional stations of the Croatian radio also broadcast daily news programs for national minorities in their languages (Zgrabljic Rotar, 2011).

McQuail (1995) includes impartiality and balance in reporting of different views as variables of internal pluralism in the media. These requirements are included in all the media laws in Croatia, and oblige the print media as well as the audiovisual media. The Croatian Journalists Association also has an Ethics code, although no power to enforce its self-regulatory findings. Publishers established a new self-regulatory body with this aim in 2011, though no further information was made public about its work. The public service broadcaster also adopted a self-regulatory measure for independent reporting. HRT journalists are not allowed to express political preferences or opinions, and editors-in-chief cannot hold any party political office (though this has not always been observed). Rules against discrimination and for ensuring tolerance in media content also support diversity and pluralism.

Academic research gives some pointers regarding actual pluralism and diversity of genres in Croatian television. Diversity of content in commercial television at a national level are found to be wanting, especially in regard to information and current affairs programs, culture, education, and significantly larger genre diversity in the programs of the public service HRT (Ward, 2006; Peruško, 2009). Research also shows that quotas of domestic and European audiovisual fictional works on Croatian television are not met (Peruško & Čuvalo, 2010). The share of “socially useful” public service content did not increase in total television programming with the advent of commercial television — in 1959 one channel of Croatian television (then Television Zagreb) broadcast 10 per cent of current affairs programs — in 2009, two public service channels are still the only ones which broadcast this type of programs

(also around 10 per cent of their programming). News programs remained at the same 15 per cent of all broadcast programs. The contribution of commercial television was positive in increasing the number of independent newsrooms providing welcome source diversity and external pluralism at the level of the media system.

## RECEIVED DIVERSITY

McQuail (1995) mentions three dimensions of audience-related media pluralism: diversity of access, diversity of choice, and reflective diversity. Received diversity (or exposure diversity) (Napoli, 1997) is defined as including access to a structurally diverse media landscape, in addition to a pluralist and diverse content consumed by the audience.

The notion of received diversity is a relatively new attempt to include the audience when discussing media pluralism policy (Breeman et al., 2011). Audiences are often implied in media policy research, either as “beneficiaries” or as “victims,” without seriously considering their roles (Livingstone, 1998). The idea of enhancing policy effectiveness by explaining the transparency of user/audience media choice decisions in order to enhance the pluralism and diversity of their “media diet” (Breeman et al., 2011) could be seen as paternalistic, or as infringing on freedom (Napoli, 1997). In a media literacy framework, however, in which received diversity is part of audience empowerment for active citizenship, this policy goal could be seen in a positive light.

Received diversity obviously presupposes internal or external diversity in media structure and content, but is not determined by it. In a multiplatform networked media landscape it is quite possible to choose always the same kind of content from different media platforms, thus avoiding diversity in content functions or pluralism of ideas and worldviews, while satisfying the need for always different, yet the same, fare of popular culture in perpetuation of the “ever-changing sameness” (Adorno, cited in Harper, 2012, p. 98). Should policy attempt to remedy this in order to ensure that the citizen audiences receive a necessary minimum daily dose of content important to democracy, and if so, which policy? Does media pluralism add to media literacy, or vice versa? Is media literacy needed in order for the citizen audience to be able to benefit from media pluralism? To frame it in another way, is the available diversity and pluralism of media and media content in a multichannel networked media environment only of any (social) use if the members of the audience are not only aware of its existence, but have the necessary knowledge and sensitivity/curiosity/engagement to partake of it?

In this regard the audience can also be conceived of as the active participant which shares in the creation of civil society, defined by communication practice in terms of deliberative democracy (Peruško, 2008a). The necessary ingredients for an active citizen, then, are on the one hand structural, and on the other relate to an individual person’s choices. In order to understand the dynamics of audience



through promotion of pluralism and diversity by special support for programs of local and regional electronic media from a levy on commercial broadcasters. Pluralism of worldviews and social diversity expectedly figure prominently in the programming and oversight remit of the public service broadcaster, but they are also expected in the programs of commercial electronic media. Pluralism and diversity (much more than market competition) are also the reason for anti-monopoly regulation and ownership restrictions (Peruško, 2011). The changing nature of the media in the digital age has not yet been truly noticed in media policy in Croatia in relation to the issue of media pluralism, or in any socially relevant way, except in terms of the growth and spread of new technology (Peruško & Popović, 2008b).

Protection of pluralism and diversity is achieved in Croatia through several connected mechanisms. Structural external diversity is protected by preventing ownership concentration in the media, cross-media concentration (press and electronic media), ensuring transparency of data concerning media and their owners, supporting media at different geographical levels and of different types (i.e. non-profit, minority), as well as by advancing thematic channels in digital television. Extensive statutory requirements are included, regarding content diversity and pluralism in terms of program types of socio-cultural and political interest and regarding standards of objectivity and impartiality for information reporting. Diversity and pluralism are also promoted by public subsidies for program production in the public interest in local radio and television, national and ethnic minority media and non-profit media. Internal diversity is promoted by the public service broadcaster, HRT.

The competitive framework for the media is changing in favour of the commercial vs. public service media. While both internal and external pluralism and diversity are part of policy in structural and content terms, received diversity has only recently started to be recognized as a potential policy avenue. Croatian media policy approach has not yet shifted from the passive audience or the implied audience model, and has not attempted to gauge the needs of the audiences in terms of civic and political participation or their rationales for media content choices, nor the possibilities of policy in media and education for increasing the social role of the contemporary media in enabling political and civic participation of audiences. These two lacunae clearly point to areas of future research attention and policy debates.

In understanding the nature of media pluralism (and the related political parallelism) the political context of the media system has an important role. According to the original framework of three models of media systems (Hallin & Mancini, 2004), majoritarian democracies are more prone to individual pluralism in politics and internal media pluralism, while consensus democracies in segmented societies tend more to external pluralism of the media and to organized, corporative pluralism in politics extending especially to political representation in broadcasting (Hallin & Mancini,



- Hallin, D.C., Mancini, P. (eds) (2012). *Comparing Media Systems Beyond the Western World*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Harper, S. (2012). *Beyond the Left: The Communist Critique of the Media*. Hants: Zero Books John Hunt Publishing.
- Hartmann, T. (ed) (2009). *Media Choice. A Theoretical and Empirical Overview*. New York: Routledge.
- Humphreys, P. (1996). *Mass Media Policy in Western Europe*. Manchester and New York: Manchester University Press.
- Humphreys, P. (2012). A political scientist's contribution to the comparative study of media systems in Europe: A response to Hallin and Mancini. In: Just, N., Puppis, M. (eds). *Trends in Communication Policy Research*. Bristol: Intellect Books & ECREA.
- Iosifides, P. (1997). Pluralism and media concentration policy in the European Union. *Javnost/The Public*, 4 (1), pp. 85–104.
- Iyengar, S., Curran, J., Brink Lund, A., Salovaara-Moring, I., Hahn, K.S., Coen, S. (2010). Cross-national versus individual-level differences in political information: A media systems perspective. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties*, 20 (3), pp. 291–309.
- Karpinnen, K. (2010). *Rethinking Media Pluralism. A Critique of Theories and Policy Discourses*. PhD thesis. University of Helsinki. Publications of the Department of Social Research 2010, Media and Communication Studies.
- Klimkiewicz, B. (2005). *Media Pluralism: European Regulatory Policies and the Case of Central Europe*. EUI Working Papers RSCAS No. 2005/19. Florence: EUI.
- Klimkiewicz, B. (ed) (2010). *Media Freedom and Pluralism. Media Policy Challenges in the Enlarged Europe*. Budapest: Central European University Press.
- Kraidy, M.A. (2012). The rise of transnational media systems: Implications of pan-Arab media for comparative research. In: Hallin, D.C., Mancini, P. (eds). *Comparing Media Systems Beyond the Western World*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lamza Posavec, V., Rihtar, S. (2003). Neke osobine publike informativno političkog tiska [Some characteristics of audiences of information press]. *Društvena istraživanja*, 12, No. 6 (68), pp. 927–956.
- Livingstone, S. (1998). *Audience Research at the Crossroads: The Implied Audience in Media and Cultural Theory*. LSE Research Online. Retrieved February 11, 2013 from <http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/392/>.
- McQuail, D. (1995). *Media Performance. Mass Communication and the Public Interest*. London: Sage.
- Napoli, P.M. (1997). Rethinking program diversity assessment: An audience centered approach. *Journal of Media Economics*, 10 (4), pp. 59–74.
- Peruško, Z. (2005). Croatia. In: *Television Across Europe: Regulation, Policy and Independence*. Budapest: EUMAP & NMP.
- Peruško, Z. (2008a). Mediji i civilno društvo: paradoks interaktivne publike [Media and civil society: The paradox of the interactive audience]. In: Peruško, Z. (ed). *Mediji, kultura i civilno društvo* [Media, Culture and Civil Society]. Zagreb: Jesenski Turk.
- Peruško, Z. (2008b). (Ne)pristranost u predizbornoj kampanji na hrvatskim televizijama: ravnoteža i raznolikost [Bias in election campaigns on Croatian televisions: balance and diversity]. *Medijska istraživanja*, 14 (2), pp. 5–34.
- Peruško, Z. (2009). Public interest and television performance in Croatia. *Medijska istraživanja*, 15 (2), pp. 5–31.
- Peruško, Z. (2010). The link that matters: Media concentration and diversity of content. In: Klimkiewicz, B. (ed). *Media Freedom and Pluralism: Media Policy Challenges in the Enlarged Europe*. Budapest: Central European University Press.
- Peruško, Z. (2011). *Assessment of media development in Croatia based on the UNESCO media development indicators*. IPDC, UNESCO, Paris. Retrieved November 10, 2012 from [http://www.unesco.org/new/fileadmin/MULTIMEDIA/HQ/CI/CI/pdf/IPDC/croatia\\_report\\_final.pdf](http://www.unesco.org/new/fileadmin/MULTIMEDIA/HQ/CI/CI/pdf/IPDC/croatia_report_final.pdf).

- Peruško, Z. (2012). Medijski sustav u Hrvatskoj: od autoritarnog do mediteranskog modela [Media system in Croatia: From an authoritarian to a Mediterranean model]. In: Ravlić, S., Puljiz, V., Franičević, V., Visković, V. (eds). *Hrvatska u Europskoj uniji: kako dalje?* [Croatia in the EU: How to Proceed?]. Zagreb: Centar za demokraciju i pravo Miko Tripalo.
- Peruško Čulek, Z. (1999). *Demokracija i mediji* [Democracy and the Media]. Zagreb: Barbat.
- Peruško Čulek, Z. (2003). Croatia: The first ten years. In: Paletz, D., Jakubowicz, K. (eds). *Business as Usual*. Cresskill, New Jersey: Hampton Press.
- Peruško, Z., Čuvalo, A. (2010). *Trendovi žanrovske raznolikost na hrvatskim televizijama 1959–2009* [Trends of genre diversity in Croatian television 1959–2009]. "ZAKON O HRT-u — SUMRAK DOMAĆEG PROGRAMA". Društvo hrvatskih filmskih redatelja, Hrvatska udruga producenata (HRUP), Hrvatska udruga nezavisnih televizijskih producenata (HUNTVP), Hrvatsko novinarsko društvo (HND), 23 April 2010.
- Peruško, Z., Perišin, T., Topić, M., Vilović, G., Zgrabljic Rotar, N. (2011). *Hrvatski medijski sustav prema UNESCO-ovim indikatorima medijskog razvoja* [Croatian media system according to the UNESCO media development indicators]. Zagreb: Biblioteka Hrvatska politologija, Politička misao FPZG.
- Peruško, Z., Popović, H. (2008a). Media concentration trends in central and Eastern Europe. In: Jakubowicz, K., Sükösd, M. (eds). *Finding the Right Place on the Map: Central and Eastern European Media Change in Global Perspective*. Bristol: Intellect Books.
- Peruško, Z., Popović, H. (2008b). From transmission to the public good: Media policy for the digital age in Croatia. In: Sükösd, M., Isanović, A. (eds). *Public Service Television in the Digital Age: Strategies and Opportunities in Five South-East European Countries*. Sarajevo: Mediacentar Sarajevo.
- Peruško, Z., Vozab, D., Čuvalo, A. (2012). *Audience behavior as a dynamic variable in media system modeling: Southeastern Europe in a comparative perspective*. Paper presented at International conference on post-socialist media audiences (Prague, 25 May 2012).
- Siebert, F.S., Peterson, T., Schramm, W. (1956). *Four Theories of the Press*. Chicago: University of Illinois Press.
- Spichal, S. (2000). Reproducing political capitalism in the media of East-Central Europe. *Medijska istraživanja*, 6 (1), pp. 5–17.
- Stantić, G. et al. (2003). *Politika u programu HTV-a* [Politics in HTV Programs]. Zagreb: Hrvatski Helsinški odbor za ljudska prava.
- Švob-Đokić, N., Bilić, P., Peruško, Z. (2011). *Does media policy promote media freedom and independence? The case of Croatia*. MEDIADEM Working Paper, December 2011. Retrieved February 11, 2013 from <http://www.mediadem.eliamep.gr/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/Croatia.pdf>.
- Ward, D. (2006). Final report: *Study on the assessment of Content Diversity in Newspapers and Television in the context of increasing trends towards concentration of media markets*. Media Division. Council of Europe. MC-S-MD (2006)001.
- Webster, J.G. (2009). The role of structure in media choice. In: Hartman, T. (ed). *Media Choice. A Theoretical and Empirical Overview*. New York: Routledge.
- Zgrabljic Rotar, N. (2008). Medijska pismenost i medijska etika u civilnom društvu [Media literacy and media ethics in civil society]. In: Peruško, Z. (ed). *Mediji, kultura i civilno društvo* [Media, Culture and Civil Society]. Zagreb: Jesenski Turk.
- Zgrabljic Rotar, N. (2011). Kategorija 3: Mediji kao platforma demokratskog diskursa. In: Peruško, Z., Perišin, T., Topić, M., Vilović, G., Zgrabljic Rotar, N. *Hrvatski medijski sustav prema UNESCO-ovim indikatorima medijskog razvoja* [Croatian Media System According to the UNESCO Media Development Indicators]. Zagreb: Biblioteka Politička misao FPZG.
- Zhao, Y. (2012). Understanding China's media system in a world historical context. In: Hallin, D.C., Mancini, P. (eds). *Comparing Media Systems Beyond the Western World*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.